

Public Map 1, submitted 9/29/2021:

Creating balance of census populations ~10k per district. Establishing Downtown Lincoln into a single district and Del Webb as a solid district as much as allowable. Account for additional population growth in District 5 with Bella Breeze Circle. District 2 will get growth to north and east along Hwy 193 to Virginiatown. District 1 gets balanced growth to the West near Airport and down Nicolaus Rd. District 3 growth to the West extending Ferrari Ranch road. I wish I could've kept Lincoln Crossing as a whole in District 3, but the Twelve Bridges / Verdera region (District 5) needed additional population support.

Public Map 2, submitted 10/21/2021:

Just offering up different options for Commission to consider. In this map (for 2 northern districts which are up for election in 2022) I looked to provide a map very similar to the existing district map - with minimal change in order to meet population deviation requirements. Maintaining the area north of Nicolaus (but south of Markham Ravine) in District 2 (rather than moving into District 1) keeps the existing council members (Silhi and Andreatta) in their current districts (and different from one another) thus allowing both to remain on the council (if their respective districts choose to elect them). Moving to earlier maps will place both in District 1, which would force one of them out if someone runs in District 2, even as they both were elected in 2018 prior to the district requirement. This creates a voters choice for status quo/stability within city council (if elected as such)

Public Map 3, submitted 10/21/2021:

More compact, closer population balance.

Public Map 4, submitted 10/29/2021:

(Resubmitted) One option. Auburn Ravine separates 2 "north" districts (1,2) from "south" (3,4,5) - consistent with 1st map. Adjusted D2 to minimal boundaries (Nicolaus, Lincoln Blvd and Auburn Ravine). 4 elementary school boundaries in D1, D2 fit most cleanly now. Census block incl. Turkey Creek GC is big development (but only pop 12 now) so decision could be: put in D4 (as drawn here) or in D1. D4 may be good as portion (50 % ?) will be 55 + community, like Sun City LH. It'd have 2 council members (D1 & D4) to face/hear infrastructure challenges, and avoids 1 member (D1) having such breadth (airport, downtown, big dev) tho expect ALL members to do what's best for Lincoln/downtown. For South districts, grew % of SCLH in 1 district (D4) while shifting blocks from across Lincoln Blvd into D5 to balance pop May be nice to have Lincoln Crossing retail w/ rest of LC (vs in D5 w/ 12B retail) but may not be as clean. No extra space to describe more trade-offs, options, reasoning.

Public Map 5, submitted 10/29/2021:

(Resubmitted) follow-on to an earlier map just submitted. Everything is same except I considered a different option to adjust the original District 3 to balance populations while keeping Lincoln Crossing retail portion in district with more LC residents. Note : I do not believe whether a retail portion is in or not in your district should influence one's decision to make the best possible decision for the surrounding area. It's again just another way to create boundaries to meet deviation requirements ... and this boundary is an obvious natural boundary (Ingram Slough) between D3 and what would move to D5. This map appears to break off a larger portion of the official (?) Lincoln Crossing HOA area (as shown in the mapping tool) so may not be a better

option than earlier map for District 3 and 5 ... but again, an option. As I do not live in District 3, unsure if those there have an opinion on how to best parse out areas in order to meet the balancing requirements.

Public Map 6, submitted 10/29/2021:

(Resubmitted) Map # 4. Another for Commission to consider. Used Auburn Ravine as boundary between 2 "northern" districts (1,2) and 3 "southern" ones (3,4,5). For Districts 1 and 2, used primarily elementary school boundaries. Foskett and Creekside fall into D1 with First Street and Coppin in D2 - creating more an East/West for north districts and includes most of blocks identified as lower income in one district (District 2). One could say splitting lower income areas across two districts makes more sense and an earlier map does that. School mapping is not exact as part of Creekside and Coppin boundaries extend below Auburn Ravine but seemed cleaner/reasonable to do this. For D3, used natural boundary of the Ingram Slough to shift area to D5. Earlier map showed different way to adjust D3. And, relative to current districts, shifted more of Sun City together in D4. Had to keep some of Sun City in D5 to balance populations. Tried to use main roads as boundaries if possible.

Public Map 7, submitted 10/29/2021:

(Resubmitted) Another option for Commission. This is extremely similar to map # 4 submitted - with more an East/West split in the 2 northern districts, and for the 3 southern districts, using Ingram Slough as natural boundary to separate out some of Lincoln Crossing/District 3. In this map however, rather than use the elementary school boundary (near 5th street and Q street) to define the boundary between District 1 and 2, the boundary used was simply 5th street and Q street. Whereas the school boundary juts in and out around streets, one may say this makes it much cleaner to understand what district one is in, especially since all residents in those areas may not even know what school district they're in - especially if they do not have elementary school age kids. * note * if using an East/West split, there are many ways to adjust the boundary between D1 and D2 and still meet deviation requirements. Could decide to shift more pop from D1 to D2 for example.

Public Map #8, submitted 11/3/2021:

This map keeps districts contiguous and attempts to maintain integrity of common interest communities while keeping district populations as close to 10K as possible.